#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# Increased hormonal stress response of Apennine chamois induced by interspecific interactions and anthropogenic disturbance

Nicoletta Formenti<sup>1,2</sup> • Roberto Viganó<sup>3</sup> • Cristina Fraquelli<sup>3</sup> • Tiziana Trogu<sup>1</sup> • Marta Bonfanti<sup>1</sup> • Paolo Lanfranchi<sup>1</sup> • Rupert Palme<sup>4</sup> • Nicola Ferrari<sup>1,5</sup>

Received: 6 November 2017 / Revised: 18 October 2018 / Accepted: 31 October 2018 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

#### Abstract

Responses of animals to environmental changes and their interactions with other species play an important role in conservation. Sharing a common habitat may lead to interspecific competition for resources, but field assessment of these biological events is not always easily accomplished. By using a non-invasive method, we evaluated the physiological stress responses of Apennine chamois (*Rupicapra pyrenaica ornata*) to the presence of cattle, sheep and goat, red deer (*Cervus elaphus*), people (hikers), and predators to identify which factors may affect this endangered species. During September 2012, November 2012, and July 2013, a total of 318 faecal samples were collected in representative sites and analysed for faecal cortisol metabolites (FCM). FCM concentration was analysed through linear mixed-effect models. A significant increase in FCM values in Apennine chamois sharing their habitat with domestic animals was recorded during all study periods. On the contrary, stress responses to red deer and people were limited in time and emerged only during summer months, when hikers are more frequent and red deer extend their altitudinal range reaching chamois' habitat. The observed effects of domestic animals, red deer, and hikers should be considered in future Apennine chamois management plans, which should include the regulation of pastured domestic livestock, anthropogenic disturbances, and possible interferences with other wild species within parks.

**Keywords** Competition · *Rupicapra pyrenaica ornata* · *Cervus elaphus* · Domestic ruminants · Human activities · Glucocorticoids

# Introduction

For all animal species, a thorough evaluation of factors influencing individual fitness is paramount to the implementation of wildlife management and conservation strategies.

Nicola Ferrari nicola.ferrari@unimi.it

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Veterinary Medicine, Università degli Studi di Milano, via Celoria 10, 20133 Milan, Italy
- <sup>2</sup> Present address: Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale della Lombardia e dell'Emilia Romagna "Bruno Ubertini", via Bianchi 7/9, 25124 Brescia, Italy
- <sup>3</sup> Studio Associato AlpVet, Piazza Venzaghi 2, 21052 Busto Arsizio, VA, Italy
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Veterinary Medicine, Veterinärplatz 1, 1210 Wien, Austria
- <sup>5</sup> Centro di Ricerca Coordinata Epidemiologia e Sorveglianza Molecolare delle Infezioni, Università degli Studi di Milano, via Celoria 10, 20133 Milan, Italy

Some interspecific interactions, such as competition, predation, and parasitism, can be detrimental to one or more components of fitness (Begon et al. 2006). In wild animal populations, determining these impacts by quantifying reduction in survival or reproduction can be problematic and/or requires long-term population studies (McCallum 2000). However, all environmental pressures, including interspecific competition, lead to physiological changes at the individual level and these variations in physiological parameters can be assessed and quantified efficiently, offering a measure of impacts induced by these pressures. Under natural conditions, animals can cope with environmental challenges by stimulating their endocrine system to secrete glucocorticoids (stress responses; Huber et al. 2003; Palme et al. 2005; Rehnus et al. 2009; Palme 2012; Corlatti et al. 2014). In wild ungulates, different glucocorticoid responses have been associated with several intrinsic physiological traits, such as age (i.e. growth), sex and reproductive status, digestion, immunity, or energy mobilisation (Huber et al. 2003; Corlatti et al. 2014). Social and behavioural dynamics, especially during the mating period, can also increase hormonal reactions (Mooring et al. 2006; Fichtel et al. 2007; Corlatti et al. 2012, 2014). Additionally, physiological stress responses of wild ungulates can be influenced by extrinsic, predictable environmental factors, such as seasonal changes in food availability and temperature (Huber et al. 2003; Konjević et al. 2011; Jachowski et al. 2015), or even by less predictable events such as flooding (Corlatti et al. 2011), human disturbance (Cederna and Lovari 1985; Zwijacz-Kozica et al. 2013; Jachowski et al. 2015) or hunting (Corlatti et al. 2014; Santos et al. 2018; Zbyryt et al. 2018). Glucocorticoids have an adaptive value since they can provoke rapid physiological and behavioural adjustments that allow animals to react more efficiently to adverse/new circumstances (Möstl and Palme 2002; Sheriff et al. 2011; Formenti et al. 2015). However, when a stressor persists in time, it may lead to a chronic stress state that can have a severe impact on individual health and thus play a role in populations' decline (Corlatti et al. 2014; Arlettaz et al. 2015).

In the field, glucocorticoid levels should be evaluated through non-invasive methods (Palme et al. 1999), because invasive procedures (i.e. blood sampling) induce a stress response as a consequence of handling the animal, thus altering the target physiological and behavioural parameters (Huber et al. 2003; Munerato et al. 2015). On the contrary, facees can be easily obtained without manipulation and provide an integrated measure of fluctuating blood concentrations approximately 10–15 h before sampling (Palme et al. 1999; Touma and Palme 2005; Kleinsasser et al. 2010).

The Apennine chamois (Rupicapra pyrenaica ornata) is endemic to central Italy and listed as 'especially protected species' under the Italian law (no. 157/1992). This subspecies is also included in annexes II and IV of the European Union Habitats and Species Directive, and in appendix II of Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (Lovari et al. 2014). The population is currently fragmented and restricted to the central, innermost parts of the Apennine and, despite a great conservation and repopulation effort, during the last decade, the number of Apennine chamois has decreased by at least 30% (Lovari et al. 2014). Thus, there is a compelling need to evaluate the health status of Apennine chamois populations and identify which environmental factors may affect this endangered species. Under these delicate conservation constraints, research must take care to not interfere with populations. Consequently, non-invasive methods are preferable, and even opportunistic sampling can be highly informative, due to the scarcity of available information.

Here, we took advantage of field sampling carried out for health monitoring purposes within the LIFE project 'Coornata' (LIFE Coornata Team 2015). We used this opportunistic sampling to analyse faecal glucocorticoid metabolites of Apennine chamois to retrospectively evaluate whether their hormonal stress responses are affected by interactions with other animal species/stressors present in their habitat. Specifically, we explored the effect on hormonal responses induced by predators (Dalmau et al. 2010) (i.e. wolves (*Canis lupus*), bears (*Ursus arctos marsicanus*)) and red deer (*Cervus elaphus*), which have been suggested to have an impact on Apennine chamois population dynamics (Ferretti et al. 2015). In addition, we evaluated whether human activities, such as farming or tourism (Patterson 1988), elicited any stress responses in Apennine chamois.

# **Material and methods**

#### Study area

The study areas are located in the Italian Central Apennine (altitudinal range: 900–2912 m. a.s.l.) within the boundaries of three National parks: Abruzzo, Lazio e Molise National Park (41°48'31.70" N, 13°47'24.29" E, 49.680 ha); Majella National Park (42°23'35.20" N, 13°45'24.20" E, 74.095 ha; the Gran Sasso e Monti della Laga National Park (42°29' 26.33" N, 13°29'50.73" E, 148.935 ha) (Fig. 1). The Apennine chamois is present in all the three parks with reported population sizes of 600 (www.camosciodabruzzo.it), 840 (www.camoscioappenninico.it), and 622 (www.gransassolagapark.it) individuals (Antonucci et al. 2010a; Di Domenico et al. 2015), respectively. All three parks are inhabited by red deer and top predators (wolf and bear).

### **Field sampling**

In 2012 and 2013, a total of 318 fresh faecal samples from Apennine chamois were collected opportunistically within a broader health monitoring plan (Antonucci et al. 2010b). In detail, in all three parks sampling was carried out in September 2012 and July 2013, with an additional sampling period in November 2012, but only in the 'Abruzzo, Lazio, and Molise' National park. These months cover the main physiological seasonal changes of chamois biology and incorporate the diverse environmental conditions the field sites experience over the year. In particular, July follows chamois parturition and during this month, the presence of hikers is high, and livestock (cattle (Bos taurus), sheep (Ovis aries), and goats (Capra hircus)) are present on the pastures. September is the period of chamois' weaning and in this month livestock and hikers are almost absent. During November, the mating period occurs, but no particular other stressors are present (Zubiani and Latini, unpublished data; Asprea 2009). Unlike blood samples, faecal steroid metabolite concentrations are less affected by episodic fluctuations or by the pulsatility of hormone secretion and might represent the endocrine profile of an animal more accurately than a single plasma sample (Palme

**Fig. 1** Map of the three study areas and sampling locations (circles)



et al. 1999; Touma and Palme 2005). As FCM concentrations allow us to evaluate longer-term chamois reactions, our sampling design obviates the need to consider the direct interactions of chamois with a given stressor and their concomitant presence at the time of sampling. Therefore, within each park, two to three sampling sites were selected known to be representative for the presence of deer/people (i.e. hikers)/livestock/predators. In detail, for each area, we defined whether red deer were present or absent based on annual censuses and seasonal dynamics (Duprè et al. 2001). Similarly, data on livestock presence (cattle, sheep, and goats) were obtained through the Italian Veterinary Informative System (Ministry of Health), which monitors the distribution of domestic animals within National parks. Due to the ranging behaviour of large predators (i.e. wolves and bears), their presence could not be excluded from any sampling area; hence, sites were classified as high vs occasional predator occurrence, based on information supplied by the National parks. Finally, hiking is regulated by parks, with areas where human recreational activities are allowed and others where hikers are forbidden. A detailed description of the characteristics of each study site is provided in Table 1.

Sampling was carried out by two experts that performed transects twice a day, both in the morning and afternoon (Lovari and Cosentino 1986; Richard-Hansen et al. 1992; McCullough 1994; Largo et al. 2008). In order to collect exclusively fresh faecal samples and avoid repeated sampling from the same individual, animals were first localised visually and observed defecating (Caughley 1978; McCullough et al. 1993; Loison et al. 2006). Fresh samples were then collected only after the chamois had moved away to avoid any interference with the studied population. Samples were kept cold with silica-gel until storage at – 20 °C was possible.

## Analysis of glucocorticoid metabolites

Faecal cortisol metabolites (FCM) were analysed with an 11oxoaetiocholanolone enzyme immunoassay (EIA, Möstl et al. 2002), previously used in chamois (Corlatti et al. 2012). FCM concentrations reflect plasma hormone level and can therefore be used to monitor endocrine status (Touma and Palme 2005). Briefly, 0.5 g of each wellhomogenised faecal sample was extracted with 5 ml of 80% methanol (Palme et al. 2013). Next, an aliquot of the supernatant was further diluted with assay buffer and analysed with the above mentioned EIA.

#### **Statistical analyses**

FCM concentrations were log transformed and considered as the response variable in linear mixed-effect models. Sampling month and the presence of red deer, cattle, sheep/ goat, people (hikers), and predators were included as explanatory variables. To avoid pseudoreplication due to repeated sampling from the same study areas, each park was included in the model as a random factor. Since our opportunistic sampling did not provide a fully crossed and balanced design between explanatory variables, we first fitted a full model including those first-order interactions which were both biologically meaningful and computationally possible. In detail, these were the following: the interaction of sampling months with the presence of red deer, hikers, and sheep/goat and the interaction between the presence of hikers and red deer. Additionally, because sampling in November occurred only in one study area, which did not provide all the conditions of the other variables, we excluded the data sampled in this month. The full model was then simplified based on the maximum likelihood ratio test and evaluation of AIC, by

Parks	Year	Sampling month	Sampling days	Hikers	Predators	Interspecific interactions	Sample size	TOT
GSLNP	2012	September	1	Absent Absent	Absent Absent	No interactions Cattle	12 18	30
	2013	July	1	Present Present	Present Present	No interactions Cattle	11 9	20
ALMNP	2012	September	1	Present Present	Present Present	No interactions Red deer	12 10	32
				Present	Present	Cattle and flocks	10	
		November	4	Present Present	Present Present	No interactions Red deer	10 10	44
				Present Absent	Present	Cattle and flocks	24	
	2013	July	2	Absent Present	Present Present	No interactions Red deer	20 21	62
				Absent	Present	Cattle and flocks	21	
MNP	2012	September	1	Present Absent	Present Present	No interactions Red deer	27 21	88
				Present	Absent	Flocks	40	
	2013	July	1	Present Absent	Present Present	No interactions Red deer	20 6	42
				Present	Absent	Flocks	16	

 Table 1
 Sampling composition in relation to parks, sampling year, tourism, predators, interspecific interactions, and sampling days

discarding those terms that did not contribute to fit the model (Bolker et al. 2009). Thus, we obtained a minimal adequate model which retained only those terms that contributed to describe FCM variability. To assess the goodness-of-fit of the minimal model, we estimated  $R^2$  to provide the 'variance explained' by the model (Nakagawa and Schielzeth 2013). Post-hoc comparisons were based on pair-wise *t* tests of differences of least square means (DLSM), applying Tukey correction for multiple comparisons. The analyses were performed using R 3.3.3 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing 2017) fitting linear mixed-effect models with the 'lmer' function of the package lme4, 'r.squaredGLMM' in the package MuMIn to estimate  $R^2$ , and 'lsmean' in package lsmean for post-hoc comparisons; the significant threshold was p < 0.05.

# Results

Concentrations of faecal cortisol metabolites of chamois in the three parks are given in Fig. 2.

The minimal adequate model (Table 2), with the lowest AIC, explained 61.3% of the FCM variation observed in our sample.

FCM concentrations were affected by sheep/goats (Table 2), with a significant increase in FCM levels in areas where flocks were present (Fig. 3).

The effect of red deer and hikers on FCM concentrations depended on the sampling month (Table 2). The presence of

red deer was associated with higher FCM levels during July (DLSM p < 0.0001), while in September, FCM levels were significantly lower in areas with red deer present compared to those where red deer were absent (DLSM p < 0.0001) (Fig. 4).

Similarly, the presence of hikers (Fig. 5) was associated with higher FCM levels during July (DLSM p = 0.0001), while lower FCM levels were found during September (DLSM p = 0.0001).

## Discussion

The present study aimed to investigate physiological stress responses of Apennine chamois to interactions with red deer, predators, livestock, and people. Higher FCM values were found in subjects sharing their habitat with flocks of sheep/ goats during all the study period, while stress responses induced by red deer and hikers emerged only during specific sampling months, and predators had no effect on FCM concentrations.

Apennine chamois is an endangered species and poaching, habitat destruction, urbanisation, and epidemics are known to threaten its conservation (Crestanello et al. 2009). Some studies have highlighted that restocking/reintroduction of other ungulates can also have an impact on this species (Crestanello et al. 2009). Indeed, recent reintroductions and consequent progressive expansion of red deer in the study area have been suggested as an additional source of disturbance for



Fig. 2 Mean ( $\pm$  SE) values of FCM (ng/g) recorded in the three parks and during the three sampling months

Apennine chamois (Lovari et al. 2014; Ferretti et al. 2015). Similar results have been observed for the Alpine chamois (R. r. rupicapra) (Anderwald et al. 2015). Our results are consistent with previous studies as the higher FCM concentrations recorded in chamois sharing their habitat with red deer in July suggest that this species might be a source of disturbance. Indeed, during summer months, the red deer extend their range to higher altitudes, thus overlapping with chamois' habitat (Clutton-Brock et al. 1982). The increase in FCM values could be due to direct and/or indirect interactions between the two species. On the one hand, there is an almost complete diet overlap between red deer and Apennine chamois (Lovari et al. 2014); on the other hand, large herds of red deer (up to 90 individuals) may affect vegetation quality and availability through grazing and/or trampling (Ferretti et al. 2015). However, while we found higher hormonal stress levels during summer when chamois share its habitat with red deer, during September, FCM concentrations were higher when red deer were absent. This suggests that other factors, not included in the present analyses, such as a differential distribution of food or seasonal changes in chamois behaviour, may act as additional and influential stressors. In particular, low-quality food during autumn months (Bruno and Lovari 1989) together with the specific need of a protein-rich diet

 Table 2
 Minimal linear model of factors affecting FCM concentrations in Apennine chamois

Coefficients	Wald chi-square	df	p value
2.848	7.9448	1	0.004
3.025	0.877	1	0.767
1.189	0.046	1	0.828
1.219	24.503	1	< 0.001
0.365	2.184	1	0.139
-0.368	2.941	1	0.086
-4.390	95.484	1	< 0.001
-2.570	41.105	1	< 0.001
	Coefficients 2.848 3.025 1.189 1.219 0.365 -0.368 -4.390 -2.570	Coefficients         Wald chi-square           2.848         7.9448           3.025         0.877           1.189         0.046           1.219         24.503           0.365         2.184           -0.368         2.941           -4.390         95.484           -2.570         41.105	Coefficients         Wald chi-square         df           2.848         7.9448         1           3.025         0.877         1           1.189         0.046         1           1.219         24.503         1           0.365         2.184         1           -0.368         2.941         1           -4.390         95.484         1           -2.570         41.105         1

following lactation and the need to restore energy reserves (Ferrari et al. 1988; Bruno and Lovari 1989) may influence glucocorticoid release. At the same time, changes in behaviour associated with the mating period could increase hormonal reactions (Mooring et al. 2006; Fichtel et al. 2007; Corlatti et al. 2012, 2014). Moreover, our results revealed that hormonal stress responses are also influenced by tourist activities (i.e. hikers) and the presence of sheep and goats. While the former had a restricted temporal effect limited to the summer season when tourists' presence is higher (Patterson 1988; Zwijacz-Kozica et al. 2013), the effect induced by the presence of sheep/goats remained constant across the study period, highlighting a more continuous impact. These increases in cortisol responses could be ascribed either to spatial competition, with a potential segregation of the Apennine chamois (Chirichella et al. 2013), or to competition for food, as has been previously described in Alpine chamois (Fankhauser



Fig. 3 Model predictions of the effects of the presence/absence of sheep/ goats on faecal cortisol metabolites (FCM) in Apennine chamois. FCM concentrations are presented in logarithmic values with 95% confidence limits given



Fig. 4 Model predictions of the effects of the presence/absence of red deer during the three sampling months on faecal cortisol metabolites (FCM) in Apennine chamois. FCM concentrations are presented in logarithmic values with the 95% confidence limits given

et al. 2008; La Morgia and Bassano 2009), Pyrenean chamois (R. p. pyrenaica) and Cantabrian chamois (R. p. parva) (Berducou 1984; Rebollo et al. 1993). Moreover, the presence of shepherds and their dogs, which are often free-ranging even during the night, should be considered as further potential stressors for chamois (Stankowich 2008). Indeed, Chirichella et al. (2013) showed that Alpine chamois were more likely to be closer to rocks when large groups of domestic ruminants were close by, and especially when shepherd's dogs were present.

Our data suggest that Apennine chamois are influenced by the presence of other animal competitors and by human activities. Considering the adverse effect of glucocorticoids on individual metabolism and immunity (McEwen 1998), these increased hormonal responses could represent an additional threat for this species. This result highlights how, for endangered species, scientific investigations aimed at supporting their conservation should not be restricted to the analysis of population dynamics, but should be extended to include patho-physiological aspects. These studies would require thorough information on individual and environmental factors, such as quantitative data on the abundance of other competing species. Unfortunately, for endangered species, information across multiple variables and scenarios is not always available, but scarcity of data in a given area should not inhibit investigation. It is clear that even limited data can provide important guidance for management strategies and/or indicate future research directions for endangered species. Our study, although partially affected by these limits, clearly suggests a negative influence of animal farming, and a time-limited negative effect of red deer and the presence of hikers, encouraging us to take these factors into account in future management plans.

In conclusion, our results indicate a relationship between increased stress hormonal levels of Apennine chamois and the presence of sheep/goats during the whole study period, and an effect of both red deer and hikers during the summer. These higher FCM values might be detrimental to chamois as induced stress can alter an animals' body condition and reduce their resistance to diseases (Rehnus et al. 2014). Therefore, an increase in stress could harm these declining populations, and potential stressors must thus be considered as additional threats for this endangered species. The observed effects of livestock, red deer, and people should encourage management plans to further investigate these effects, which should include the regulation of pastured domestic livestock, anthropogenic disturbances, and possible interference of other wild species within the National parks.

**Fig. 5** Model predictions of the effects of the presence/absence of hikers during the three sampling months on faecal cortisol metabolites in Apennine chamois. FCM concentrations are presented in logarithmic values with the 95% confidence limits given



Acknowledgements The authors are grateful to Sarah Perkins and Claudia Romeo for their contribution during editing and to anonymous reviewers and EJWR' editors who greatly helped us to improve the manuscript through their constructive comments. We thank Loredana Bisegna for her suggestions in the text-editing process.

**Funding** This research was supported by the 'Project LIFE09 NAT/IT/ 000183 Coornata' and partly by the Italian Ministry of University and Research (PRIN project no. 2010P7LFW4).

#### **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Ethical approval** All applicable international, national, and/or institutional guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed. This article does not contain any studies with human participants performed by any of the authors.

### References

- Anderwald P, Herfindal I, Haller RM, Risch AC, Schütz M, Schweiger AK, Filli F (2015) Influence of migratory ungulate management on competitive interactions with resident species in a protected area. Ecosphere 6(11):228
- Antonucci A, Di Domenico G, Gentile D, Artese C (2010a) Documento operativo sulle corrette procedure per l'individuazione dei nuclei di camoscio oggetto di prelievo per le immissioni in natura. www. camoscioappenninico.it. Accessed 11 Jan 2017
- Antonucci A, Di Domenico G, Gentile D, Latini R, Asprea A, Pagliaroli D, Artese C (2010b) Protocollo per il controllo dei branchi e degli individui di camoscio appenninico (*Rupicapra pyrenaica ornata*) nell'ambito del Progetto Life Coornata. LIFE09 NAT/IT/000183 COORNATA. "Development of coordinated protection measures for Apennine chamois (*Rupicapra pyrenaica ornata*)". www. camoscioappenninico.it. Accessed 11 Jan 2017
- Arlettaz R, Nusslé S, Baltic M, Vogel P, Palme R, Jenni-Eiermann S, Patthey P, Genoud M (2015) Disturbance of wildlife by outdoor winter recreation: allostatic stress response and altered activityenergy budgets. Ecol Appl 25:1197–1212
- Asprea A (2009) Status, monitoraggio e conservazione del camoscio appenninico (*Rupicapra pyrenaica ornata*) nel Parco Nazionale d'Abruzzo, Lazio e Molise – Struttura di popolazione. Report of the National Park of Abruzzo, Lazio and Molise
- Begon M, Harper JL, Townsend CR (2006) Ecology. From individuals to ecosystems. Blackwell Science, Oxford
- Berducou C (1984) Spatial and trophic interactions between wild and domestic ungulates, in the French mountain national parks. In: Joss PJ, Lynch PW, Williams OB (eds) Rangelands – a resource under siege. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 390–391
- Bolker BM, Brooks ME, Clark CJ, Geange SW, Poulsen JR, Stevens MHH, White J-SS (2009) Generalized linear mixed models: a practical guide for ecology and evolution. Trends Ecol Evol 24:127–135
- Bruno E, Lovari S (1989) Foraging behaviour of adult female Apennine chamois in relation to seasonal variation in food supply. Acta Theriol 34:513–523
- Caughley G (1978) Analysis of vertebrate populations. John Wiley and Sons, London **234 pp**
- Cederna A, Lovari S (1985) The impact of tourism on chamois feeding activities in an area of the Abruzzo National Park. In: Lovari S (ed)

The biology and management of mountain ungulates. Croom Helm, London, pp 212–215

- Chirichella R, Ciuti S, Apollonio M (2013) Effects of livestock and nonnative mouflon on use of high-elevation pastures by Alpine chamois. Mamm Biol 78:344–350
- Clutton-Brock TH, Guinness FE, Albon SD (1982) Red deer: behavior and ecology of two sexes. Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago
- Corlatti L, Palme R, Frey-Roos F, Hackländer K (2011) Climatic cues and glucocorticoids in a free ranging riparian population of red deer (*Cervus elaphus*). Folia Zool 60:176–180
- Corlatti L, Bethaz S, von Hardenberg A, Bassano B, Palme R, Lovari S (2012) Hormones, parasites and male mating tactics in Alpine chamois: identifying the mechanisms of life history trade-offs. Anim Behav 84:1061–1070
- Corlatti L, Palme R, Lovari S (2014) Physiological response to ethoecological stressors in male Alpine chamois: timescale matters! Naturwissenschaften 101:577–586
- Crestanello B, Pecchioli E, Vernesi C, Mona S, Martínková N, Janiga M, Hauffe HC, Bertorelle G (2009) The genetic impact of translocations and habitat fragmentation in chamois (*Rupicapra*) spp. J Hered 100: 691–708
- Dalmau A, Ferret A, Manteca X (2010) Vigilance behavior of pyrenean chamois *Rupicapra pyrenaica pyrenaica*: effect of sex and position in the herd. Curr Zool 56:232–237
- Di Domenico G, Antonucci A, Angelucci S, Gentile D, Innocenti M, Carafa M, Madonna L (2015) The Apennine chamois in the Majella National Park, from a reintroduced population to a source population: results of monitoring activity and first experiences of wild chamois capture for reintroductions. In: Antonucci A, Di Domenico G (eds) Chamois international congress proceedings. 17-19 June 2014, Iama dei Peligni. Majella National Park, Chieti, pp 1–12
- Duprè E, Monaco A, Pedrotti L (2001) Piano d'azione nazionale per il camoscio appenninico (*Rupicapra pyrenaica ornata*). Quaderni di Conservazione della Natura, 10 Ministero dell'Ambiente- Istituto Superiore per la Protezione e Ricerca Ambientale-ISPRA
- Fankhauser R, Galeffi C, Suter W (2008) Dung avoidance as a possible mechanism in competition between wild and domestic ungulates: two experiments with chamois *Rupicapra rupicapra*. Eur J Wildl Res 54:88–94
- Ferrari C, Rossi G, Cavani C (1988) Summer food habits and quality of female, kid and subadult Apennine chamois, *Rupicapra pyrenaica* ornata (Neumann, 1899). Z Saugetierk 53:170–177
- Ferretti F, Corazza M, Campana I, Pietrocini V, Brunetti C, Scornavacca D, Lovari S (2015) Competition between wild herbivores: reintroduced red deer and Apennine chamois. Behav Ecol 26:550– 559
- Fichtel C, Kraus C, Ganswindt A, Heistermann M (2007) Influence of reproductive season and rank on fecal glucocorticoid levels in freeranging male Verreaux's sifakas (Propithecus verreauxi). Horm Behav 51:640–648
- Formenti N, Viganó R, Bionda R, Ferrari N, Trogu T, Lanfranchi P, Palme R (2015) Increased hormonal stress reactions induced in an Alpine Black Grouse (*Tetrao tetrix*) population by winter sports. J Ornithol 156:317–321
- Huber S, Palme R, Arnold W (2003) Effects of season, sex, and sample collection on concentrations of fecal cortisol metabolites in red deer (*Cervus elaphus*). Gen Comp Endocrinol 130:48–54
- Jachowski DS, McCorquodale S, Washburn BE, Millspaugh JJ (2015) Human disturbance and the physiological response of elk in eastern Washington. Wildl Biol Pract 11:12–25
- Kleinsasser C, Graml C, Klobetz-Rassam E, Barth K, Waiblinger S, Palme R (2010) Physiological validation of a non-invasive method for measuring adrenocortical activity in goats. Wien Tierarztl Monat - Vet Med Austria 97:259–262

- Konjević D, JanickiZ SA, Severin K, Krapinec K, Božić F, Palme R (2011) Non-invasive monitoring of adrenocortical activity in free ranging fallow deer (*Dama dama* L.). Eur J Wildl Res 57:77–81
- La Morgia V, Bassano B (2009) Feeding habits, forage selection, and diet overlap in Alpine chamois (*Rupicapra rupicapra L.*) and domestic sheep. Ecol Res 24:1043–1050
- Largo E, Gaillard J-M, Festa-Bianchet M, Toïgo C, Bassano B, Cortot H, Farny G, Lequette B, Gauthier D, Martinot J-P (2008) Can ground counts reliably monitor ibex Capra ibex populations. Wildl Biol 14: 489–499
- LIFE Coornata Team (2015) FINAL Report of Project LIFE09 NAT/IT/ 000183 Coornata. http://ec.europa.eu/environment/life/project/ Projects/index.cfm?fuseaction=home.showFile&rep=file&fil= LIFE09 NAT IT 000183 FTR IT.pdf. Accessed 11 Jan 2017
- Loison A, Appolinaire J, Jullien J-M, Dubray D (2006) How reliable are total counts to detect trends in population size of chamois *Rupicapra rupicapra* and *R. pyrenaica*? Wildl Biol 12:77–88
- Lovari S, Cosentino R (1986) Seasonal habitat selection and group size of the Abruzzo chamois (*Rupicapra pyrenaica ornata*). Boll Zool 53: 73–78
- Lovari S, Ferretti F, Corazza M, Minder I, Troiani N, Ferrari C, Saddi A (2014) Unexpected consequences of reintroductions: competition between increasing red deer and threatened Apennine chamois. Anim Conserv 17:359–370
- McCallum H (2000) Population parameters: estimation for ecological models. Blackwell Science, Oxford
- McCullough DR (1994) What do herd composition counts tell us? Wildl Soc Bull 22:295–300
- McCullough DR, Weckerly FW, Garcia PI, Evett RR (1993) Sources of inaccuracy in black-tailed deer herd composition counts. J Wildl Manag 58:319–329
- McEwen BS (1998) Stress, adaptation, and disease: allostasis and allostatic load. Ann N Y Acad Sci 840:33–44
- Mooring MS, Patton ML, Lance VA, Hall BM, Schaad EW, Fetter GA, Fortin SS, McPeak KM (2006) Glucocorticoids of bison bulls in relation to social status. Horm Behav 49:369–375
- Möstl E, Palme R (2002) Hormones as indicators of stress. Domest Anim Endocrinol 23:67–74
- Möstl E, Maggs JL, Schrötter G, Besenfelder U, Palme R (2002) Measurement of cortisol metabolites in faeces of ruminants. Vet Res Commun 26:127–139
- Munerato MS, Marques JA, Caulkett NA, Tomás WM, Zanetti ES, Trovati RG, Pereira GT, Palme R (2015) Hormonal and behavioural stress responses to capture and radio-collar fitting in free-ranging pampas deer (*Ozotoceros bezoarticus*). Anim Welf 24:437–446
- Nakagawa S, Schielzeth H (2013) A general and simple method for obtaining R2 from generalized linear mixed-effects models. Methods Ecol Evol 4:133–142

- Palme R (2012) Monitoring stress hormone metabolites as a useful, noninvasive tool for welfare assessment in farm animals. Anim Welf 21: 331–337
- Palme R, Robia C, Messmann S, Hofer J, Möstl E (1999) Measurement of fecal cortisol metabolites in ruminants: a noninvasive parameter of adrenocortical function. Wien Tierarztl Monatsschr 86:237–241
- Palme R, Rettenbacher S, Touma C, El-Bahr SM, Möstl E (2005) Stress hormones in mammals and birds: comparative aspects regarding metabolism, excretion, and noninvasive measurement in fecal samples. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1040:162–171
- Palme R, Touma C, Arias N, Dominchin MF, Lepschy M (2013) Steroid extraction: get the best out of faecal samples. Wien Tierarztl Monat -Vet Med Austria 100:238–246
- Patterson IJ (1988) Responses of Apennine chamois to human disturbance. Z Saugetierk 53:245–252
- R Development Core Team (2017) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna ISBN 3-900051-07-0
- Rebollo S, Robles L, Gómez-Sal A (1993) The influence of livestock management on land use competition between domestic and wild ungulates: sheep and chamois *Rupicapra pyrenaica parva* Cabrera in the Cantabrian range. Pirineos 141-142:47–62
- Rehnus M, Hackländer K, Palme R (2009) A non-invasive method for measuring glucocorticoid metabolites (GCM) in Mountain hares (*Lepus timidus*). Eur J Wildl Res 55:615–620
- Rehnus M, Wehrle M, Palme R (2014) Mountain hares (*Lepus timidus*) and tourism activities: stress events and reactions. J Appl Ecol 51:6– 12
- Richard-Hansen C, Gonzalez G, Gerard J-F (1992) Structure sociale de l'isard (*Rupicapra pyrenaica*) dans trois sites pyrénéens. Gibier Faune Sauvage 9:137–149
- Santos JPV, Acevedo P, Carvalho J, Queirós J, Villamuelas M, Fonseca C, Gortázar C, López-Olvera JR, Vicente J (2018) The importance of intrinsic traits, environment and human activities in modulating stress levels in a wild ungulate. Ecol Indic 89:706–715
- Sheriff MJ, Dantzer B, Delehanty B, Palme R, Boonstra R (2011) Measuring stress in wildlife: techniques for quantifying Glucocorticoids. Oecologia 166:869–887
- Stankowich T (2008) Ungulate flight responses to human disturbance: a review and meta-analysis. Biol Conserv 141:2159–2173
- Touma C, Palme R (2005) Measuring fecal glucocorticoid metabolites in mammals and birds: the importance of validation. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1046:54–74
- Zbyryt A, Bubnicki JW, Kuijper DPJ, Dehnhard M, Churski M, Schmidt K (2018) Do wild ungulates experience higher stress with humans than with large carnivores? Behav Ecol 29:19–30
- Zwijacz-Kozica T, Selva N, Barja I, Silván G, Martínez-Fernández L, Illera JC, Jodłowski M (2013) Concentration of fecal cortisol metabolites in chamois in relation to tourist pressure in Tatra National Park (South Poland). Acta Theriol 58:215–222