ANIMAL GENETICS Immunogenetics, Molecular Genetics and Functional Genomics

Comparative analysis of swine leukocyte antigen gene diversity in European farmed pigs

S. E. Hammer* (), T. Duckova*, S. Groiss*, M. Stadler*, M. Jensen-Waern[†], W. T. Golde[‡], U. Gimsa[§] and A. Saalmueller*

*Department of Pathobiology, Institute of Immunology, University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna, Veterinaerplatz 1, Vienna 1210, Austria. [†]Department of Clinical Sciences, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, PO Box 7054, Uppsala 750 07, Sweden. [‡]Moredun Research Institute, Edinburgh EH26 OPZ, UK. [§]Institute of Behavioural Physiology, Leibniz Institute for Farm Animal Biology, Wilhelm-Stahl-Allee 2, Dummerstorf 18196, Germany.

Summary

In Europe, swine represent economically important farm animals and furthermore have become a preferred preclinical large animal model for biomedical studies, transplantation and regenerative medicine research. The need for typing of the swine leukocyte antigen (SLA) is increasing with the expanded use of pigs as models for human diseases and organtransplantation experiments and their use in infection studies and for design of veterinary vaccines. In this study, we characterised the SLA class I (SLA-1, SLA-2, SLA-3) and class II (DRB1, DQB1, DQA) genes of 549 farmed pigs representing nine commercial pig lines by low-resolution (Lr) SLA haplotyping. In total, 50 class I and 37 class II haplotypes were identified in the studied cohort. The most common SLA class I haplotypes Lr-04.0 (SLA-1*04XX-SLA-3*04XX(04:04)-SLA-2*04XX) and Lr-32.0 (SLA-1*07XX-SLA-3*04XX (04:04)-SLA-2*02XX) occurred at frequencies of 11.02 and 8.20% respectively. For SLA class II, the most prevalent haplotypes Lr-0.15b (DRB1*04XX(04:05/04:06)-DQB1*02XX (02:02)-DQA*02XX) and Lr-0.12 (DRB1*06XX-DQB1*07XX-DQA*01XX) occurred at frequencies of 14.37 and 12.46% respectively. Meanwhile, our laboratory has contributed to several vaccine correlation studies (e.g. Porcine Reproductive and Respiratory Syndrome Virus, Classical Swine Fever Virus, Foot-and-Mouth Disease Virus and Swine Influenza A Virus) elucidating the immunodominance in the T-cell response with antigen specificity dependent on certain SLA-I and SLA-II haplotypes. Moreover, these SLA-immune response correlations could facilitate tailored vaccine development, as SLA-I Lr-04.0 and Lr-32.0 as well as SLA-II Lr-0.15b and Lr-0.12 are highly abundant haplotypes in European farmed pigs.

Keywords polymorphism, sequence-specific primers PCR, *Sus scrofa*, swine leukocyte antigen

The porcine major histocompatibility complex (MHC) harbours the highly polymorphic swine leukocyte antigen (SLA) class I and II gene clusters encoding glycoproteins which present antigenic peptides to T cells that are required to stimulate the adaptive immune response (Lunney *et al.* 2009; Hammer *et al.* 2020; Kamal *et al.* 2020). As pathogen effects on SLA gene expression drive swine

Address for correspondence

Accepted for publication 06 May 2021

immune responses, the SLA complex plays a key role for swine models in biomedical research (reviewed in Hammer *et al.* 2020). Associations of SLA class I and/or class II genes or haplotypes with differences in swine vaccine and disease responses are well documented (reviewed in Lunney *et al.* 2009). In vaccine research, either genetically defined pig lines (e.g., Babraham pigs) or outbred pig lines are used (Tungatt *et al.* 2018; De León *et al.* 2020). As well as using SLA-typed animals in vaccine research, pigs are often used to develop disease models and for basic research studying allogeneic and xenogeneic transplantation (reviewed in Ladowski *et al.* 2019; Hammer *et al.* 2020; Ladowski *et al.* 2021). To understand and control SLA complexity, mainly miniature swine models are used to establish SLA-inbred/-

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use,

S. E. Hammer, Department of Pathobiology, Institute of Immunology, University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna, Veterinaerplatz 1, 1210 Vienna, Austria. E-mail: sabine.hammer@phylo-dat.net

John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Stichting International Foundation for Animal Genetics

defined pig lines (reviewed in Hammer *et al.* 2020; Ladowski *et al.* 2021). In contrast, in vascularised composite allograft transplantation or for end-stage renal disease, porcine transplantation models have been established with SLA-mismatched outbred pigs (I. Arenas Hoyos *et al.* and M. Jensen-Waern *et al.* unpublished data).

Here we propose two underlying rationales for conducting SLA haplotyping-assisted animal trials in vaccine and transplantation research: (i) SLA typing of the resource population enables directed mating of founder animals based on their SLA-background (Fig. S1): and (ii) the designation of SLA-defined study groups achieves an experimental advantage of preselecting animals expressing certain SLA phenotypes and thus enhancing the understanding of experimental outcomes (Fig. S1). As a prerequisite for transplantation and vaccine research, our laboratory provides information about the MHC background usingy high-throughput low-resolution (Lr) SLA haplotyping in swine specifying SLA gene-specific allele groups (reviewed in Hammer *et al.* 2020).

We have contributed to several correlation studies addressing vaccine design against Porcine Reproductive and Respiratory Syndrome Virus (PRRSV), Classical Swine Fever Virus, Foot-and-Mouth Disease Virus (FMDV) and Swine Influenza A Virus (FLUAVsw) by SLA haplotyping outbred pigs. Furthermore, our laboratory is involved in studies with minipigs for various purposes in transplantation research (Fig. 1, Table S1). In this study, we present comprehensive data about SLA alleles and low-resolution haplotypes and their prevalence in nine commercial European pig populations.

A total of 549 farmed pigs (Fig. 1, Table S1) representing nine commercial pig lines were genotyped for their SLA class I and II haplotypes by running low-resolution PCR screening assays on Peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC)- or whole blood-derived genomic DNA. Therefore,

genomic DNA was isolated from 5×10^6 porcine PBMCs or 200 µl whole blood using commercial kits following the manufacturer's instructions (DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit, Oiagen; E.Z.N.A.[®] Blood and Tissue DNA Kit, Omega Biotek, Inc.). SLA class I (SLA-I) and SLA class II (SLA-II) lowresolution haplotypes (Lr-Hp) were identified by a PCRbased typing assay to define the animals' MHC backgrounds on the allele-group level. SLA typing was performed by PCR with the complete set of typing primers specific for the allele groups of three SLA-I loci (SLA-1, SLA-2 and SLA-3) and three SLA-II loci (DRB1, DQB1 and DQA) (Table S2; Ho et al. 2009a, 2010; Essler et al. 2013; Gimsa et al. 2017). The criteria and nomenclature used for SLA-I and SLA-II haplotyping were based on those proposed by the SLA Nomenclature Committee (Ho et al. 2009b and reviewed in Hammer et al. 2020). Interpretation of the results was deduced from the presence of allele-specific PCR products of the expected size in each lane. Low-resolution SLA-I and -II haplotypes were assigned based on comparison with previously published haplotypes (Ho et al. 2009a, 2010; Gao et al. 2017, reviewed in Hammer et al. 2020) and unpublished breed- or farm-specific haplotypes (C.-S. Ho et al. unpublished data).

The studied cohort of 549 farmed pigs representing nine commercial pig lines comprised 50 SLA-I Lr-Hp, including three potential novel allele-group combinations (Lr-01.0/ 04.0, Lr-V.0, Lr-Y1.0) (Table 1). Eight haplotypes (Lr-04.0, Lr-32.0, Lr-22.0, Lr-01.0, Lr-59.0, Lr-24.0, Lr-37.0 and Lr-43.0) explained 51.37% of the SLA-I diversity (Figs S2a & S3a). The two most abundant SLA-I haplotypes – Lr-04.0 (SLA-1*04XX-SLA-3*04XX(04:04)-SLA-2*04XX) and Lr-32.0 (SLA-1*07XX-SLA-3*04XX(04:04)-SLA-2*02XX) – occurred at frequencies of 11.02 and 8.20% respectively (Figs. S2a & S3a). Note: 'XX' indicates SLA gene-specific allele groups. Comparing these findings with previously

Breeds	SLA class I	Study	SLA class II	Study
Dough Landpee	140	diversity, PRRSV, human HPV	39	PRRSV, human HPV
Large White	48	Influenza A	48	Influenza A
Luga White	135	PRRSV, CSFV, FMDV	117	PRRSV, CSFV, FMDV
Vorthier Vorthier	22	PRRSV	22	PRRSV
torable	58	allo tx	0	
Vortable	19	allo tx	0	
German Landrace	85	diversity	85	diversity
Pietzain	27	diversity	27	diversity
Lage White	15	diversity	3	diversity
Total	549		341	

Figure 1 List of European farmed pigs incorporated in the present study. CSFV, Classical Swine Fever Virus; FMDV, Foot-and-Mouth Disease Virus; HPV, Human Papilloma Virus; PRRSV, Porcine Respiratory and Reproductive Syndrome Virus; tx, transplantation.

	Allele specificity ¹			Haplotype	s frequency	(%)							
Low resolution haplotype	SLA-1	SLA-3	SLA-2	LRYS/D 140	LWLR/P 48	LR × LW 135	ys × NL LR 22	YS × Ham 58	ΥS 19	GER LR 85	P 27	LW 15	Combined 549
01.0/04.0 ²	04XX	01XX	01XX			1.11	13.64						0.82
01.0	01XX	01XX	01XX	3.57	10.42	13.70	15.91			0.59	5.56		6.28
02.0	02XX,07XX	04XX ³	02XX	8.57						0.59			2.28
04.0	04XX	04XX (04:04)	04XX	18.93	7.29	8.89	6.82	15.79	21.55	1.76			11.02
05.0	04XX	05XX	08XX	1.79	3.13							6.67	0.91
0.90	08XX	06XX (06:01)	05XX	1.79	2.08	2.22				11.76			3.01
07.0	08XX	07XX	05XX	7.14	2.08	1.11				1.76			2.55
08.0	02XX,04XX	03XX	07XX		1.04								0.09
11.0	01XX,09XX	07XX	05XX			0.37					1.85		0.18
16.0 mod ⁴	11XX	06XX	XX60			0.74							0.18
18.0	04XX	03XX	06XX		1.04		9.09						0.46
21.0	07:03	06XX (06:01)	05XX			0.74		2.63	4.31				0.73
22.0	08XX	06XX (06:01)	12XX	8.21	1.04	17.04	2.27		2.59				6.74
23.0	12XX	03XX	Blank		2.08								0.18
24.0	Blank ⁵	04XX (04:04)	06XX	2.14	11.46	1.48	11.36	2.63	5.17	1.76	16.67	33.33	5.01
25.0	11XX	03XX	07XX	1.07	4.17	0.37				5.88	7.41		2.00
26.0	08XX	05XX	10XX	3.57	2.08			2.63	2.59	0.59	9.26		2.00
27.0	06XX,08XX	01XX	01XX									3.33	0.09
28.0	09XX,15XX	07XX	05XX		13.54	1.11				1.18	3.70		1.82
29.0	Blank	05XX	XX60	1.43	1.04	1.85					1.85		1.00
32.0	07XX	04XX (04:04)	02XX	15.36	7.29	0.74		2.63	21.55	6.47	1.85		8.20
33.0	Blank ⁵	05XX	06XX			0.37				1.18			0.27
34.0	Blank	04XX (04:04)	05XX	0.36	1.04	1.85	6.82			11.18			2.64
35.0	12XX,13XX (13:01)	05XX	10XX	0.71	3.13	3.33	11.36	2.63	5.17	4.71	7.41		3.46
36.0	02XX	01XX	11XX		1.04	0.74		7.89	8.62				1.46
37.0	07XX	05XX	XX60			15.56	6.82						4.10
38.0	15XX	04XX (04:04)	12XX + 11:04	1.07	3.13	2.59		13.16		1.76			1.91
39.0	Blank	05XX	10XX	2.86	1.04	0.37		2.63	4.31	3.53	1.85	13.33	2.46
40.0	16XX	05XX	10XX	0.71	1.04						1.85		0.36
42.0	08XX	06XX (06:02)	XX60	0.36									0.09
43.0	11XX	04XX (04:04)	04XX		8.33	0.37	9.09	13.16		5.29	20.37	13.33	3.83
45.0	08XX + 17:01	07XX	08XX + 10XX	6.07	1.04	1.85				4.12			2.73
46.0	12XX	04XX (04:04)	06XX		1.04	0.37				0.59			0.27
47.0	Blank	06XX (06:01)	05XX	1.79		0.37				1.76			0.82
49.0	08XX	05XX	Blank	3.57						4.12			1.55

Table 1 Swine leukocyte antigen (SLA) class I low-resolution haplotypes characterised in nine European commercial pig populations by PCR screening assays

© 2021 The Authors. *Animal Genetics* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Stichting International Foundation for Animal Genetics, doi: 10.1111/age.13090

(Continued)	
Table 1	

	Allele specificity			Haplotype	trequency	(%)							
•				LRYS/D	LWLR/P	LR imes LW	$\rm YS \times \rm NL \ LR$	$YS \times Ham$	ΥS	GER LR	Ь	ΓW	Combined
Low resolution haplotype	SLA-1	SLA-3	SLA-2	140	48	135	22	58	19	85	27	15	549
52.0	Blank	07XX	03XX/11:04							10.00			1.55
53.0	Blank	08XX	11:04/15XX			0.37							0.09
55.0	15XX	04XX (04:04)	11:04			1.85					11.11	23.33	1.73
56.0	11XX	03XX	15XX							1.18			0.18
57.0	02XX	01XX	11XX	3.21		0.74							1.00
58.0	08XX	03XX (03:06)	(E0:60) XX60			0.37							0.09
59.0	11XX (11:03)	05XX	16:02		3.13	14.44	2.27	26.32	11.21	1.18			6.19
61.0	07:05	03XX	06XX	5.00						4.12			1.91
62.0	14XX	04:04	06XX	0.71		0.74	2.27			11.76			2.28
64.0	14XX	05XX	10XX								7.41		0.36
66.0	15XX	04XX (04:04)	04XX		3.13		2.27						0.36
67.0	15XX	05XX	10XX		2.08						1.85		0.27
31.0/63.0	15XX	07XX	16XX			1.85							0.46
V.0 ⁴	Blank	07XX	08XX + 16XX						8.62				0.91
Y1.0 ⁴	08XX	04XX (04:04) or blank	XX60					2.63	4.31				0.55
n.d.	XXXX	XXXX	XXXX		1.04	0.37		5.26		1.18		6.67	0.55
			No of Lr-Hp	24	28	33	13	13	12	26	16	7	51
D, Duroc; GER LR, German	n Landrace; Ham, Har	npshire; LR, Landrace; LW	, Large White; N	L LR, Dutch	h Landrace;	: P, Pietrain;	YS, Yorkshire;	n.d., not def	ined.				
¹ Allele designations in part	entheses indicates mec	dium- or high-resolution sp	ecificities.										
*Not yet confirmed haplot	ype.	-	-			-							
⁴ Probably owing to the pr ⁴ Ambiguity could not be <i>r</i>	esence of 5LA-3*04X) esolved owing to the (K-like pseudogenes as this detection of this haplotype 	haplotype did no : in only one hete	t appear to rozygous <i>a</i>	o possess ar unimal.	expressed	5LA-3 gene (F	lo <i>et al</i> . 2009	a).				
⁵ Untyped SLA class I locus													

4 Hammer *et al*.

published SLA-typing studies, Lr-04.0 was also found in the pig populations (i) of studies from the Kansas State University (KSU, PRRSV study, unknown breed raised in the USA), (ii) of studies with Porcine Circo Virus (PCV, pigs with susceptibility to subgroups of PCV type 2, unknown breed raised in the USA), (iii) of the Big Pig group (Large White/Landrace crosses raised in the USA) and (iv) of Yorkshire pigs of Canadian origin (Ho et al. 2009a; Gao et al. 2017). In contrast, Lr-32.0 was observed only in the pig groups Big Pig and Landrace of Canadian origin (Ho et al. 2009a; Gao et al. 2017). Lr-22.0 and Lr-01.0 were shared with KSU, PCV and Big Pig, and the latter with the Yorkshire only (Ho et al. 2009a; Gao et al. 2017). Lr-59.0 was only found within the PCV group, Lr-43.0 was found in the KSU group and Lr-37.0 was shared in Yorkshire pigs, but Lr-24.0 did not occur in any of these five studied cohorts (Ho et al. 2009a; Gao et al. 2017).

With respect to the allele groups discovered, SLA-1 was more polymorphic than SLA-2 followed by SLA-3 (Fig. 2). For SLA-1, we found 23 allele groups, and three of them explained 46.27% of the diversity. In detail, SLA-1*08XX, SLA-1*07XX and SLA-1*blank represented frequencies of 16.58, 15.03 and 14.66% (Fig. 2). Note: 'Blank' indicates alleles that cannot be detected with the primer sets utilised in the current study. For SLA-2, three out of 22 detected allele groups were responsible for 47.63% of the diversity. More precisely, SLA-2*04XX, SLA-2*05XX and SLA-2*02XX showed frequencies of 15.21, 11.75 and 10.84% (Fig. 2). The lesser polymorphic locus, SLA-3, was characterised by 10 allele groups, and among them, SLA-3*04XX (39.80%) and SLA-3*05XX (22.77%) explained 62.57% of the diversity (Fig. 2).

For SLA-II, 37 haplotypes were found, including seven potential novel allele-group combinations (Lr-YDLR-0.1, Lr-YDLR-0.2, Lr-PIE-0.1, Lr-PIE-0.2, Lr-LWLR-0.1, Lr-LRYD-0.1 and Lr-NN; Table 2). The four haplotypes Lr-0.15b, Lr-0.12, Lr-0.23 and Lr-0.21 explained 44.43% of the SLA-II diversity (Figs S2b & S3b).

The two most abundant SLA-II haplotypes, Lr-0.15b (DRB1*04XX(04:05/04:06)-DQB1*02XX(02:02)-



Figure 2 Frequencies (x-axis) of swine leukocyte antigen (SLA) class I (SLA-1, SLA-3 and SLA-2) and class II (DRB1, DQB1 and DQA) allele groups (y-axis) identified in the studied European farmed pigs. 'Blank' indicates alleles that cannot be detected with the primer sets utilised in the current study. n.d., Not determined.

6 Hammer *et al*.

Table 2 Swine leukocyte antigen class II low-resolution haplotypes characterised in seven European commercial pig populations by PCR screening assays

	Allele specificity	, ¹		Haploty	/pe freque	ency (%)					
Low resolution haplotype	DRB1	DQB1	DQA	LRYS/ D 39	LWLR/ P 48	LR × LW 117	YS × NL LR 22	GER LR 85	P 27	LW 3	Combined 341
0.01	01XX	01XX	01XX	3.85	3.13	7.69	9.09		5.56		4.55
0.02	02XX	02XX	02XX	5.05	5.15	0.85	4 55		5.50	33 33	1.33
0.04	02XX	04XX	02XX	5.15		2.56	4.55			55.55	0.88
0.05	05XX	02XX	02XX	1 28		2.30					0.88
0.06	05XX	08XX	01XX	1.20	1 04	2.11	2 27		1 85		1 17
0.07	06XX	06XX	01XX		1.01	0.43	4 55		1.05		0.44
0.08b ²	08XX	02XX	02XX	2.56	3.13	0.45	ч. 9 9	2.35			1.32
0.00	0222	(02.03)	0222		4 17						0.50
0.09	02XX	0488	03XX		4.17						0.59
0.10	04XX	0888	03XX	4.20	1.04	2.56	4.55	2.04			0.15
0.11	09XX/09:06	04XX	03XX	1.28	11.46	2.56	4.55	2.94	7 44		3.6/
0.12	06XX	07XX	01XX	2.56		3.42	9.09	39.41	7.41		12.46
0.13	04XX (04:03)	(03XX (03:03)	02XX			0.43			7.41		0.73
0.14	09XX (09:06)	08XX	03XX	1.28	1.04		13.64	6.47	25.93	16.67	4.99
0.15a ²	04XX (04:01)	02XX	02XX	3.85		3.85		0.59	5.56		2.35
0.15b ²	04XX (04:05/ 04:06)	02XX (02:02)	02XX	7.69	19.79	31.20					14.37
0.19a ²	04XX (04:03/ 04:04)	07XX	03XX	8.97	4.17	0.43		3.53	16.67		3.96
0.19b ²	04XX (04:05/ 04:06)	07XX	03XX				2.27	5.88			1.61
0.20	06XX	03XX	01XX		6.25				3.70		1.17
0.21	01XX	05XX	04XX+05XX ³	2.56		3.85	18.18	12.94			6.01
0.22	06XX	02XX (02:04)	02XX		1.04	1.28	2.27				0.73
0.23	10XX (10:06)	06XX (06:03)	01XX	10.26	25.00	7.26	18.18	8.82	9.26	33.33	11.58
0.24	07XX	02XX	02XX	6.41	1.04	4.27			1.85		2.49
0.25	13XX	09XX	$04XX + 05XX^{3}$	12.82	3.13	2.14		5.88	11.11		4.99
0.26	11XX	04XX	02XX		3.13	1.71	4.55	4.12			2.35
0.27	09XX/09:06	09XX	$04XX + 05XX^{3}$			16.24				16.67	5.72
0.29	Blank ⁴	09XX	$04XX + 05XX^{3}$		1.04			5.29			1.47
0.30	11XX (11:01)	05XX	02XX			0.85	4.55	0.59			0.73
0.32	06XX	Blank	02XX		5.21	0.85					1.03
0.33	11XX (11:01/ 11:03)	02XX (02:06)	02XX	5.13	4.17	0.85					1.47
0 35	01XX (01.04)	04XX	02XX		1 04						0.15
YDI R-0 1 ⁵	06XX	05XX	03XX				2 27				0.15
YDI R-0.2 ⁵	06XX	02.02/	03XX			0.43	2.27				0.15
I DER 0.2	00/07	02:02/	03/01			0.15					0.15
PIF-0 1 ⁵	01XX	05XX	Blank						1 85		0 15
PIF-0.2 ⁵	06XX	03XX	O3XX						1.85		0.15
I WI R-0 1 ⁵	Blank	02XX	02XX			0.85			1.00		0.29
LRYD-0 1 ⁵	06XX	02XX	01XX	12 82		0.05					1 47
NN ⁵	Blank	02XX	02XX	11 5/							1 32
nd	XXXX	XXXX	XXXX	J-		1 71		1 18			0.88
			No of Lr-Hp	17	19	25	14	14	13	4	38

D, Duroc; GER LR, German Landrace; Ham, Hampshire; LR, Landrace; LRYD, Landrace/Yorkshire/Duroc crosses; LW, Large White; LWLR, Large White/Landrace crosses; NL LR, Dutch Landrace; P, Pietrain; PIE, Pietrain (Austria); YDLR, Yorkshire/Dutch Landrace crosses; YS, Yorkshire; n.d., not defined.

¹Allele designations in parentheses indicates medium- or high-resolution specificities.

²The alphabetical suffix in haplotype designations was used to differentiate between closely related haplotypes (i.e. haplotypes with identical low-resolution group specificities, but different allele specificities).

³Positive with both DQA*04XX primer sets in lanes D12 and C12 (Table S2b).

⁴Untyped swine leukocyte antigen class II locus.

⁵Not yet confirmed haplotype.

DQA*02XX) and Lr-0.12 (DRB1*06XX-DQB1*07XX-DQA*01XX), occurred at frequencies of 14.37 and 12.46% respectively (Figs S2b & S3b). With respect to previous studies, Lr-0.15b was also found in the pig populations KSU, PCV, Big Pig and Yorkshire (Ho *et al.* 2010; Gao *et al.* 2017). Lr-0.12 and Lr-0.23 were shared in Big Pig and Landrace together with PCV (Lr-0.12) and Yorkshire (Lr-0.23) (Ho *et al.* 2010; Gao *et al.* 2017). In contrast, Lr-0.21 was observed only in the pig groups KSU and PCV (Ho *et al.* 2010).

As expected, regarding the detected number of SLA-II allele groups, DRB1 was more polymorphic than DQB1 followed by DQA (Fig. 2). For DRB1, we found 13 allele groups, and two of them explained 41.06% of the diversity. Specifically, DRB1*04XX and DRB1*06XX represented frequencies of 23.31 and 17.74% respectively (Fig. 2). For DQB1, two out of 12 detected allele groups were responsible for 46.04% of the diversity with DQB1*02XX and DQB1*07XX showing frequencies of 28.01 and 18.04% (Fig. 2). The lesser polymorphic locus, DQA, was characterised by seven allele groups and among them DQA*02XX (33.43%) and DQA*07XX (30.94%) explained 64.37% of the diversity (Fig. 2).

In veterinary vaccine design, the characterisation of the peptide-binding specificity of SLA-I and SLA-II molecules is pivotal to understanding adaptive immune responses of swine towards infectious pathogens (reviewed in Hammer et al. 2020). Herein we briefly discuss key findings on the correlation of SLA haplotypes and immune responses for the animals enrolled in this study. Immunity against the PRRSV is not well understood, although there is evidence suggesting that virus-specific T-cell IFN- γ responses play an important role. It was demonstrated that PRRSV-vaccinated and challenged pigs carrying SLA-I haplotype Lr-01.0/04.0 or Lr-59.0 and SLA-II haplotype Lr-0.27 showed significant IFN- γ responses, pointing towards a positive correlation of SLA haplotype and T-cell response (Burgara-Estrella et al. 2013). Another PRRSV study suggested that the antigenic region NSP5156-167 could be restricted by the SLA-I haplotype Lr-22.0, meaning that a T cell will only respond to this particular antigen when it is bound to either SLA-1*08XX, SLA-3*06:01 or SLA-2*12XX. Additionally, pigs demonstrating CD4⁺ T cell responses to the antigenic peptide M₂₉₋₄₃ were haploidentical, sharing both SLA-II haplotypes Lr-0.01 and Lr-0.15b. This combination appearing exclusively in these animals suggests restriction by one of these two haplotypes (Mokhtar et al. 2014, 2016).

A proteome-wide screening revealed immunodominance in the CD8 T-cell response against Classical Swine Fever Virus with antigen specificity dependent on SLA-I haplotypes. The variability in the antigen-specificity of these immunodominant CD8 T-cell responses was confirmed to be associated with the expression of distinct SLA-I haplotypes. Moreover, recognition of NS2₁₂₂₃₋₁₂₃₀ STVTGIFL (Lr-22.0) and NS3₁₉₀₂₋₁₉₁₂ VEYSFIFLDEY (Lr-01.0) by a larger group of C-strain vaccinated animals showed that these 7

peptides could be restricted by additional haplotypes (Franzoni *et al.* 2013).

In the analysis of FLUAVsw, the porcine T-cell response has been poorly characterised to date. In a cohort of 40 outbred pigs, Talker and co-workers showed that animals with a strong expansion of Ki-67⁺CD8 β ⁺ T cells and the highest frequencies of FLUAVsw-specific cytokine-producing CD4⁺ T cells were homozygous for the SLA-I haplotype Lr-01.0 and for the SLA-DQA locus (DQA*02XX) (Talker et al. 2015, 2016). In 2018, Schwartz and co-workers fully characterised the SLA background of the inbred Babraham pigs at a high-resolution level: SLA-1*14:02-SLA-3*04XX-SLA-2*11:04 and DRB1*05:01-DOB1*08:01/02-DQA*01:03. Based on this SLA-defined pig model, it was then possible to develop a toolset that included the identification of novel immunodominant FLUAVsw-derived T-cell epitopes (Schwartz et al. 2018; Tungatt et al. 2018).

Previous studies showed the promising potential of dendrimer peptides as vaccine candidates against FMDV. Several B-cell epitope dendrimers, harbouring a major FMDV antigenic B-cell site in VP1 protein that is covalently linked to heterotypic T-cell epitopes from 3A and/or 3D proteins, elicited consistent levels of neutralising antibodies and IFN-y-producing cells in pigs (De León et al. 2020). Robust correlations of certain SLA haplotypes (Lr-22.0, Lr-59.0, Lr-0.15b, Lr-0.24 and Lr-0.27) with antibody titres and IFN-y-producing cells support the contribution of SLA class-II restricted T-cells to the magnitude of the T-cell response and to the antibody response evoked by the B₂T dendrimers, being of potential value for peptide vaccine design against FMDV (De León et al. 2020). In addition, Patch and colleagues used inbred minipigs to show that FMDV infection results in induction of cvtotoxic T cell responses that are classically antigen specific and MHC restricted (Patch et al. 2014). Following on, these investigators used SLA-1*04:01 and SLA-2*04:01 class I tetramers to show that, upon vaccination with replication defective adenovirus 5 vectors expressing the FMDV P1 protein, T cell specificities expand with each vaccine boost (Pedersen et al. 2016).

In conclusion, these correlations could carry potential for veterinary vaccine design, as SLA-I Lr-01.0 (6.28%), Lr-04.0 (11.02%), Lr-22.0 (6.74%) and Lr-59.0 (6.19%) and SLA-II Lr-0.01 (4.55%), Lr-0.15b (14.37%) and Lr-0.27 (5.72%) are highly abundant haplotypes in European farmed pigs (Tables 1 & 2). On the other hand, targeting common haplotypes may reduce diversity over time, leading to susceptibility to other diseases and a lack of vaccine efficacy. Hence, a vaccine that works across a wide range of haplotypes potentially could be a safer strategy.

Acknowledgements

For the collaboration by providing samples for this study, we are indebted to Christiane Jansen (Research Department

8 Hammer et al.

of Animal Sciences, Wageningen University), Artur Summerfield (Institute of Virology and Immunology, Vetsuisse-Faculty, University of Bern) and Simon Graham (The Pirbright Institute). This work is part of a startup project financially supported by Profile Line 2 'Infection and prevention' from the University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna, Austria.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no known conflicts of interest associated with this publication.

Data availability statement

Further information about data and reagents used is available by request to the corresponding author. Minipigderived SLA typing data are confidential because of a nondisclosure agreement.

References

- Burgara-Estrella A., Díaz I., Rodríguez-Gómez I., Essler S.E., Hernández J. & Mateu E. (2013) Predicted peptides from nonstructural proteins of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus are able to induce IFN- γ and IL-10. *Viruses* 5, 663–77.
- De León P., Cañas-Arranz R., Saez Y. et al. (2020) Association of porcine Swine Leukocyte Antigen (SLA) haplotypes with B- and T-cell immune response to foot-and-mouth disease virus (FMDV) peptides. Special issue: Evaluation of Vaccine Immunogenicity. Vaccines 8, E513.
- Essler S.E., Ertl W., Deutsch J., Ruetgen B.C., Groiss S., Stadler M., Wysoudil B., Gerner W., Ho C.-S. & Saalmüller A. (2013) Molecular characterization of swine leukocyte antigen gene diversity in purebred Pietrain pigs. *Animal Genetics* 44, 202–5.
- Franzoni G., Kurkure N.V., Essler S.E., Everett H.E., Bodman-Smith K., Crooke H.R. & Graham S.P. (2013) Proteome-wide screening reveals immunodominance in the CD8 T-cell response against classical swine fever virus with antigen-specificity dependent on MHC class I haplotype. *PLoS One* 8, e84246.
- Gao C., Quan J., Jiang X., Li C., Lu X. & Chen H. (2017) Swine leukocyte antigen diversity in Canadian specific pathogen-free Yorkshire and landrace pigs. *Frontiers in Immunology* 8, 282. eCollection 2017.
- Gimsa U., Ho C.-S. & Hammer S.E. (2017) Preferred SLA class I/ class II haplotype combinations in German Landrace pigs. *Immunogenetics* **69**, 39–47.
- Hammer S.E., Ho C.-S., Ando A., Rogel-Gaillard C., Charles M., Tector M., Tector A.J. & Lunney J.K. (2020) Importance of the MHC (SLA) in swine health and biomedical research. *Annual Review in Animal Biosciences* 8, 171–98.

- Ho C.-S., Lunney J.K., Ando A., Rogel-Gaillard C., Lee J.H., Schook L.B. & Smith D.M. (2009b) Nomenclature for factors of the SLA system, update 2008. *Tissue Antigens* 73, 307–15.
- Ho C.-S., Lunney J.K., Franzo-Romain M.H., Martens G.W., Lee Y.J., Lee J.H., Wysocki M., Rowland R.R. & Smith D.M. (2009a) Molecular characterization of swine leucocyte antigen class I genes in outbred pig populations. *Animal Genetics* 40, 468–78.
- Ho C.-S., Lunney J.K., Lee J.H., Franzo-Romain M.H., Martens G.W., Rowland R.R.R. & Smith D.M. (2010) Molecular characterization of swine leucocyte antigen class II genes in outbred pig populations. *Animal Genetics* 41, 428–32.
- Kamal S., Kerndt C.C. & Lappin S.L. (2020) Genetics, histocompatibility antigen. In: *StatPearls* [Internet]. StatPearls Publishing, Treasure Island, FL. 2021 Jan. PMID: 31082067.
- Ladowski J.M., Hara H. & Cooper D.K.C. (2021) The role of SLAs in xenotransplantation. *Transplantation* **105**, 300–7.
- Ladowski J., Martens G., Estrada J., Tector M. & Tector J. (2019) The desirable donor pig to eliminate all xenoreactive antigens. *Xenotransplantation* **26**, e12504.
- Lunney J.K., Ho C.-S., Wysocki M. & Smith D.M. (2009) Molecular genetics of the swine major histocompatibility complex, the SLA complex. *Developmental and Comparative Immunology* 33, 362–74.
- Mokhtar H., Eck M., Morgan S.B., Essler S.E., Frossard J.P., Ruggli N. & Graham S.P. (2014) Proteome-wide screening of the European porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus reveals a broad range of T cell antigen reactivity. *Vaccine* 32, 6828–37.
- Mokhtar H., Pedrera M., Frossard J.P. *et al.* (2016) The nonstructural protein 5 and matrix protein are major antigenic targets of T cell immunity to porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus. *Frontiers in Immunology* **7**, 40.
- Patch J.R., Dar P., Waters R., Toka F.N., Barerra J., Schutta C., Kondabattula G. & Golde W.T. (2014) Infection with foot-andmouth disease virus (FMDV) induces a natural killer (NK) cell response in cattle that is lacking following vaccination. *Comparative Immunology Microbiology and Infectious Diseases* 37, 249–57.
- Pedersen L.E., Patch J.R., Kenney M.A., Glabman R.A., Nielsen M., Jungersen G., Buus S. & Golde W.T. (2016) Expanding specificity of CD8 T cells for viral epitopes following multiple inoculations of swine with adeno-FMDV vaccine. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology* 181, 59–67.
- Schwartz J.C., Hemmink J.D., Graham S.P., Tchilian E., Charleston B., Hammer S.E., Ho C.-S. & Hammond J.A. (2018) The major histocompatibility complex homozygous inbred Babraham pig as a resource for veterinary and translational medicine. *HLA* 92, 40–3.
- Talker S.C., Koinig H., Stadler M. *et al.* (2015) Magnitude and kinetics of multifunctional CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells in pigs infected with swine influenza A virus. *Veterinary Research* **46**, 52–67.
- Talker S.C., Stadler M., Koinig H.C. *et al.* (2016) Influenza A virus infection in pigs attracts multifunctional and cross-reactive T cells to the lung. *Journal of Virology* **90**, 9364–82.
- Tungatt K., Dolton G., Morgan S.B. *et al.* (2018) Induction of influenza-specific local CD8 T-cells in the respiratory tract after

aerosol delivery of vaccine antigen or virus in the Babraham inbred pig. *PLoS Pathogens* **14**, e1007017. eCollection 2018 May.

Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Table S1Detailed list of European farmed pigs incorpo-rated in the present study.

Table S2 Plate layout of the PCR primer panel for genotyping swine leukocyte antigen class I (a) and class II (b) alleles.

Figure S1 Two basics concepts for swine leukocyte antigen haplotyping-assisted animal trials in vaccine and transplantation research.

Figure S2 Frequency of swine leukocyte antigen class I (a) and class II (b) low-resolution haplotypes identified in 549 and 341 European farmed pigs by PCR screening assays respectively.

Figure S3 Swine leukocyte antigen class I (a) and class II (b) low-resolution haplotype diversity in nine and seven European commercial pig populations respectively.

Table S1 Detailed list of European farmed pigs incorporated in the present study.

		no o	f pigs			
Breed	origin	SLA class I	SLA class II	Reference		
	DK	101	0	Pedersen et al. Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. (2014) 162:108-116		
([LRxYS]xD)	NL	8	8	Christine Jansen (2018, this study)		
	UK	31	31	William T. Golde (2019-20, this study)		
		9	9	iam T. Golde (2019-20, this study) ker et al. Veterinary Research (2015) 46:52 ker et al. Journal of Virology (2016) 90:9364-9382 bine Hammer (2012, this study) nzoni et al. PLoS ONE (2013) 8:e84246 khtar et al. Frontiers in Immunology (2016) 7:40 gara-Estrella et al. Viruses (2013) 5:663-677 León et al. Viruses (2020) 8:E513 khtar et al. Vaccine (2014) 32:6828-6837 rianne Jensen-Waern (2015-16, this study) ke Gimsa (2012, this study) nsa et al. Immunogenetics (2017) 69:39-47		
([LR×LW]×P)	Austria	31	31	Talker et al. Journal of Virology (2016) 90:9364-9382		
		8	8	Sabine Hammer (2012, this study)		
		26	8	8 Sabine Hammer (2012, this study) 8 Franzoni et al. PLoS ONE (2013) 8:e84246 10 Mokhtar et al. Frontiers in Immunology (2016) 7:40 26 Burgara-Estrella et al. Viruses (2013) 5:663-677 73 De León et al. Viruses (2020) 8:E513 22 Mokhtar et al. Vaccine (2014) 32:6828-6837		
	UN	10	10	Mokhtar et al. Frontiers in Immunology (2016) 7:40		
LKXLVV	Spain	26	26	Burgara-Estrella et al. Viruses (2013) 5:663-677		
	Spain	73	73	IReferencePedersen et al. Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. (2014) 162:108-1Christine Jansen (2018, this study)William T. Golde (2019-20, this study)Talker et al. Veterinary Research (2015) 46:52Talker et al. Journal of Virology (2016) 90:9364-9382Sabine Hammer (2012, this study)Franzoni et al. PLoS ONE (2013) 8:e84246Mokhtar et al. Frontiers in Immunology (2016) 7:40Burgara-Estrella et al. Viruses (2013) 5:663-677De León et al. Viruses (2020) 8:E513Mokhtar et al. Vaccine (2014) 32:6828-6837Marianne Jensen-Waern (2015-16, this study)Ulrike Gimsa (2012, this study)Gimsa et al. Immunogenetics (2017) 69:39-47Essler et al. Animal Genetics (2013) 44:202-205Simon Graham (2012, this study)Artur Summerfield (2015, this study)Total no of pigs		
YS x NL LR	UK	22	22	Mokhtar <i>et al.</i> Vaccine (2014) 32:6828-6837		
YS x Ham	Sweden	44	0	De León <i>et al.</i> Viruses (2020) 8:E513 Mokhtar <i>et al.</i> Vaccine (2014) 32:6828-6837		
YS	Sweden	33	0	Marianne Jensen-Waern (2015-16, this study)		
	Cormonu	20	20	Sabine Hammer (2012, this study) Franzoni et al. PLoS ONE (2013) 8:e84246 Mokhtar et al. Frontiers in Immunology (2016) 7:40 Burgara-Estrella et al. Viruses (2013) 5:663-677 De León et al. Viruses (2020) 8:E513 Mokhtar et al. Vaccine (2014) 32:6828-6837 Marianne Jensen-Waern (2015-16, this study) Ulrike Gimsa (2012, this study) Gimsa et al. Immunogenetics (2017) 69:39-47 Essler et al. Animal Genetics (2013) 44:202-205		
GERLK	Germany	65	65	William T. Golde (2019-20, this study)Talker et al. Veterinary Research (2015) 46:52Talker et al. Journal of Virology (2016) 90:9364-9382Sabine Hammer (2012, this study)Franzoni et al. PLoS ONE (2013) 8:e84246Mokhtar et al. Frontiers in Immunology (2016) 7:40Burgara-Estrella et al. Viruses (2013) 5:663-677De León et al. Viruses (2020) 8:E513Mokhtar et al. Vaccine (2014) 32:6828-6837Marianne Jensen-Waern (2015-16, this study)Ulrike Gimsa (2012, this study)Gimsa et al. Immunogenetics (2017) 69:39-47Essler et al. Animal Genetics (2013) 44:202-205Simon Graham (2012, this study)Artur Summerfield (2015, this study)		
AUT P	Austria	27	27	Talker et al. Journal of Virology (2016) 90:9364-9382Sabine Hammer (2012, this study)Franzoni et al. PLoS ONE (2013) 8:e84246Mokhtar et al. Frontiers in Immunology (2016) 7:40Burgara-Estrella et al. Viruses (2013) 5:663-677De León et al. Viruses (2020) 8:E513Mokhtar et al. Vaccine (2014) 32:6828-6837Marianne Jensen-Waern (2015-16, this study)Ulrike Gimsa (2012, this study)Gimsa et al. Immunogenetics (2017) 69:39-47Essler et al. Animal Genetics (2013) 44:202-205Dimen Outlant		
1.14/	UK	3	3	Simon Graham (2012, this study)		
	СН	12	0	Artur Summerfield (2015, this study)		
		549	341	Total no of pigs		

LRYS/D, Animals were 25% Landrace (LR), 25% Yorkshire (YS), and 50% Duroc (D); LWLR/P, Animals were 25% Large White (LW), 25% Landrace (LR), and 50% Pietrain (P); LR × LW, Large White/Landrace crosses; YS × NL LR, Yorkshire/Dutch Landrace crosses; YS × Ham, Yorkshire/Hampshire crosses; GER LR, German Landrace; AUT P, Austrian Pietrain; LW, Large White.

2 of 9

Table S1 – References

- Pedersen L.E., Jungersen G., Sorensen M.R., Ho C.-S. & Vadekær D.F. (2014) Swine leukocyte antigen (SLA) class I allele typing of Danish swine herds and identification of commonly occurring haplotypes using sequence specific low and high resolution primers. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology* 162, 108-16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetimm.2014.10.007
- Talker S.C., Koinig H., Stadler M., Graage R., Klingler E., Ladinig A., Mair K.H., Hammer S.E., Dürrwald R., Ritzmann M., Saalmüller A. & Gerner W. (2015) Magnitude and kinetics of multifunctional CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells in pigs infected with swine influenza A virus. *Veterinary Research* 46, 52-67. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13567-015-0182-3.
- Talker S.C., Stadler M., Koinig H.C., Mair K.H., Rodriguez-Gomez I.M., Graage R., Zell R., Dürrwald R., Starick E., Harder T., Weissenböck H., Lamp B., Hammer S.E., Ladinig A., Saalmüller A. & Gerner W. (2016) Influenza A virus infection in pigs attracts multifunctional and cross-reactive T cells to the lung. *Journal of Virology* 90, 9364-82. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01211-16.
- Franzoni G., Kurkure N.V., Essler S.E., Everett H.E., Bodman-Smith K., Crooke H.R. & Graham S.P. (2013) Proteome-wide screening reveals immunodominance in the CD8 T cell response against classical swine fever virus with antigen-specificity dependant on MHC class I haplotype. *PLoS One* 8, e84246. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0084246
- Mokhtar H., Pedrera M., Frossard J.P., Biffar L., Choudhury B., Hammer S.E., Kvisgaard L.K., Larsen L.E., Stewart G.R., Somaravarapu S., Steinbach F. & Graham S.P. (2016) The non-structural protein 5 and matrix protein are major antigenic targets of T cell immunity to porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus. *Frontiers in Immunology* 7, 40. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2016.00040.
- Burgara-Estrella A., Díaz I., Rodríguez-Gómez I., Essler S.E., Hernández J. & Mateu E. (2013) Predicted peptides from non-structural proteins of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus are able to induce INF-γ and IL-10. *Viruses* 5, 663-677. https://doi.org/10.3390/v5020663
- De León P., Cañas-Arranz R., Saez Y., Forner M., Defaus S., Bustos M.J., Torres E., Rodríguez-Pulido M., Andreu D., Blanco E., Sobrino F. & Hammer S.E. (2020) Association of porcine Swine Leukocyte Antigen (SLA) haplotypes with B- and T-cell immune response to footand-mouth disease virus (FMDV) peptides. Special issue: Evaluation of Vaccine Immunogenicity, *Vaccines (Basel)* 8, E513. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines8030513.
- Mokhtar H., Eck M., Morgan S.B., Essler S.E., Frossard J.P., Ruggli N. & Graham S.P. (2014) Proteome-wide screening of the European porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus reveals a broad range of T cell antigen reactivity. *Vaccine* 32, 6828-37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.04.054
- Gimsa U., Ho C.S. & Hammer S.E. (2017) Preferred SLA class I/class II haplotype combinations in German Landrace pigs. *Immunogenetics* 69, 39-47. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00251-016-0946-6.
- Essler S.E., Ertl W., Deutsch J., Ruetgen B.C., Groiss S., Stadler M., Wysoudil B., Gerner W., Ho C.-S. & Saalmüller A. (2013) Molecular characterization of swine leukocyte antigen gene diversity in purebred Pietrain pigs. *Animal Genetics* 44, 202-205. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2052.2012.02375.x

Table S2a Plate layout of the PCR primer panel for genotyping swine leukocyte antigen (SLA) class I alleles.

	н	G	F	E	D	С	В	Α
	Negative	209 bp	147 bp	181 bp	220 bp	163 bp	220 bp	138 bp
1	Control	SLA-1*01XX(all)	SLA-1*02XX(all)	SLA-1*04XX(all)	SLA-1*05XX(all);	SLA-1*06XX(all);	SLA-1*07XX(all)	SLA-1*08XX(all)
					SLA-1*16:02	SLA-1*13:01		
	195 bp	180 bp	182 bp	119 bp	211 bp	219 bp	253 bp	173 bp
2	SLA-1*09XX(all)	SLA-1*10XX(all)	SLA-1*11XX(all)	SLA-1*12XX(all)	SLA-1*13XX(all)	SLA-1*14XX(all)	SLA-1*15XX(all);	SLA-1*16XX(all)
-							SLA-1*14:02;	
							SLA-2*01XX(all)	
	134 bp	208 bp	193 bp	130 bp	196 bp	177 bp	183 bp	192 bp
	SLA-1*16XX(all);	SLA-1*17:01	SLA-1*07:03;	SLA-1*07:03;	SLA-1*18:01	SLA-3*01XX(all)	SLA-3*03XX(all);	SLA-3*04XX(all);
3	SLA-1*16:02;		SLA-2*11:04	SLA-1*07:05			SLA-3*08XX(all)	SLA-3*04:04
	SLA-2*03XX(all);							SLA-2*15XX(all)
	SLA-2*11:04							
	138 bp	187 bp	152 bp	152 bp	139 bp	139 bp	172 bp	138 bp
Л	SLA-3*05XX(all)	SLA-3*06XX(all);	SLA-3*07XX(all)	SLA-3*06:01	SLA-3*06:02	SLA-1*11:03;	SLA-2*01XX(all)	SLA-2*02XX(all)
•		SLA-3*07XX(all)				SLA-3*04XX(all); 04:04		
						SLA-3*03XX excl. 03:06		
	89 bp	311 bp	127 bp	125 bp	199 bp	126 bp	177 bp	104 bp
5	SLA-2*03XX(all)	SLA-2*04XX(all)	SLA-2*05XX(all)	SLA-2*06XX(all)	SLA-2*07XX(all)	SLA-2*08XX(all)	SLA-2*09XX(all)	SLA-2*10XX(all)
	123 bp	160 bp	117 bp	131 bp	90 bp	196 bp	138 bp	175 bp
	SLA-2*11XX(all)	SLA-1*14:02;	SLA-1*11:03;	SLA-1*09XX(all);	SLA-2*15XX(all);	SLA-2*06:01~02 / 06:06;	SLA-1*07XX(all)	SLA-2*16:02
6		SLA-2*12XX(all)	SLA-2*13XX(all)	SLA-2*14XX(all)	SLA-2*11:04	09:01/09:02; 16XX(all)	SLA-2*02XX(all)	
				16XX(all); 16:02			SLA-2*16XX(all);	
							SLA-2*17:01	

Reference: Ho et al. Animal Genetics (2009) 40:468-78. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2052.2009.01860.x.

Table S2b Plate layout of the PCR primer panel for genotyping swine leukocyte antigen (SLA) class II alleles.

	н	G	F	E	D	С	В	А
	Negative	162 bp	203 bp	115 bp	180 bp	206 bp	172 bp	122 bp
7	Control	DRB1*01XX(all)	DRB1*01XX(all)	DRB1*02XX(all)	DRB1*03XX(all)	DRB1*04XX(all)	DRB1*05XX(all)	DRB1*06XX(all)
'		DRB1*13:02/01:03	DRB1*13:02					
		DRB1*01:04/07:04	DRB1*01:04/01:05					
	133 bp	108 bp	105 bp	157 bp	135 bp	109 bp	186 bp	182 bp
8	DRB1*07XX(all)	DRB1*08XX(all)	DRB1*09XX(all)	DRB1*09XX(all)	DRB1*10XX(all)	DRB1*11XX(all)	DRB1*12XX(all)	DRB1*13XX(all)
•		DRB1*03:02	DRB1*09:05/09:06	DRB1*16:02/17:01	DRB1*10:06/10:07			
		DRB1*16:01/16:03	DRB1*09:04	DRB1*09:06/09:07				
	113 bp	160 bp	134 bp	202 bp	197 bp	117 bp	160 bp	118 bp
9	DRB1*14XX(all)	DRB1*14:02	DRB1*15:01	DRB1*17:01	DRB1*10:07/10:08	DRB1*04:03~04	DRB1*04:01~02	DRB1*04:01~02
				DRB1*15:02	DRB1*09:07	DRB1*11:01/11:03	DRB1*04:05/04:06	DRB1*04:05/04:06
				DRB1*15:03		DRB1*14:02		
	165 bp	180 bp	146 bp	166 bp	197 bp	193 bp	204 bp	154 bp
10	DQB1*01XX(all)	DQB1*01XX(all)	DQB1*02XX(all)	DQB1*03XX(all)	DQB1*04XX(all)	DQB1*05XX(all)	DQB1*06XX(all)	DQB1*07XX(all)
		DQB1*11:01					DQB1*06:03	
	148 bp	146 bp	180 bp	161 bp	193 bp	176 bp	133 bp	165 bp
11	DQB1*08XX(all)	DQB1*09XX(all)	DQB1*09XX(all)	DQB1*10:01	DQB1*02XX	DQB1*02:02 / 02:06	DQB1*02XX	DQB1*02:03/02:06
	DQB1*08:06		DQB1*03:04	DQB1*10:02	DQB1*03:04/08:06			DQB1*03:01
	173 bp	141 bp	210 bp	160 bp	124 bp	148 bp	111 bp	120 bp
12	DQB1*02:02/02:04	DQA*01XX(all)	DQA*02XX(all)	DQA*03XX(all)	DQA*04XX(all)	DQA*04XX(all)	DQA*05XX(all)	DQA*06:01
	DQB1*08:06		DQA*06:01					

Reference: Ho et al. Animal Genetics (2010) 41:428-32. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2052.2010.02019.x.

Supporting Information



Figure S1 Two basics concepts for Swine leukocyte antigen (SLA) haplotyping-assisted animal trials in vaccine and transplantation research.



SLA class I low-resolution haplotypes

Figure S2a Frequency of swine leukocyte antigen (SLA) class I low-resolution haplotypes identified in 549 European farmed pigs.



SLA class II low-resolution haplotypes

Figure S2b Frequency of swine leukocyte antigen (SLA) class II low-resolution haplotypes identified in 341 European farmed pigs.





Figure S3a Swine leukocyte antigen (SLA) class I low-resolution haplotype diversity in nine European commercial pig populations. LWLR/P, Animals were 25% Large White (LW), 25% Landrace (LR), and 50% Pietrain (P); LRYS/D, Animals were 25% Landrace (LR), 25% Yorkshire (YS), and 50% Duroc (D); YS × NL LR, Yorkshire/ Dutch Landrace crosses; LR × LW, Large White/Landrace crosses; GER LR, German Landrace; YS × Ham, Yorkshire/Hampshire crosses.

8 of 9

LWLR/P

LRYS/D

LR × LW

🖬 LW

GER LR

Pietrain

¥S×NL LR



SLA class II low-resolution haplotypes

Figure S3b Swine leukocyte antigen (SLA) class II low-resolution haplotype diversity in seven European commercial pig populations. LWLR/P, Animals were 25% Large White (LW), 25% Landrace (LR), and 50% Pietrain (P); LRYS/D, Animals were 25% Landrace (LR), 25% Yorkshire (YS), and 50% Duroc (D); YS × NL LR, Yorkshire/ Dutch Landrace crosses; LR × LW, Large White/Landrace crosses; GER LR, German Landrace.